





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,630	06/22/2001	Hans Carlsson	4015-955	7398
24112 75	90 06/23/2004		EXAM	INER
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
P O BOX 5 RALEIGH, NC 27602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/887,630	CARLSSON ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin M Philpott	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of the control of timely filed amendr peal (with appeal fee); or (	nis application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date seen than SIX MONTHS from the mand AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH and the on which the petition under attention and the corresponding arroads statutory period for reply original.	iling date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the second of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require full		search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not		
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appea	Il by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	·	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	uld be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ a		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	
10. Other:		Judha
	<u> </u>	
	CIII	HUY D. VU PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	OUI	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Part of Paper No. 13